1874-9445/22



The Impact of The COVID-19 Pandemic on the Management of Chronic Disease in South Africa: A Systematic Review

Sheillah Hlamalani Mboweni^{1,*} and Patrone Rebecca Risenga¹

¹Department of Health Studies, College of Human Sciences, University of South Africa, Preller Street, Muckleneuk, PO Box 392, Pretoria,0001, South Africa

PRISMA 2020 Checklist.

| Section and Topic | Item # | Checklist item | Location where item is reported |
|-------------------------------|-----------|--|---|
| | | TITLE | |
| Title | 1 | Identify the report as a systematic review. | Page 1 |
| | | ABSTRACT | |
| Abstract | 2 | See the PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist. | Yes |
| | | INTRODUCTION | |
| Rationale | 3 | Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge. | Page 4 |
| Objectives | 4 | Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses. | Page 5 |
| | | METHODS | |
| Eligibility criteria | 5 | Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses. | Page 6 studies selection process |
| Information sources | 6 | Specify all databases, registers, websites, organisations, reference lists and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies. Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted. | Page 6- Information source and search |
| Search strategy | 7 | Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers and websites, including any filters and limits used. | Page 6 |
| Selection process | 8 | Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each record and each report retrieved, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process. | Page 7 data extraction and quality assessment |
| Data collection process | 9 | Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process. | Page 7- data synthesis |
| Data items | 10a | List and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (e.g. for all measures, time points, analyses), and if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect. | Page 7 data synthesis and table 1 |
| | 10b | List and define all other variables for which data were sought (e.g. participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any assumptions made about any missing or unclear information. | Page 16 |
| Study risk of bias assessment | 11 | Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers assessed each study and whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process. | Page 6 QARI and table 1 |

| | | an outcome. RESULTS | |
|------------------------------------|------|---|--|
| | 13e | model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used. Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression). | Page 7 study results |
| assessment Certainty assessment | 15 | (arising from reporting biases). Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for | study Page 87 study results |
| | | an outcome. | |
| Study selection | 16a | Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records | Figure 1 and |
| | | identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram. | page 6 methods and materia |
| | 16b | Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why they were excluded. | Page 6 study selection proce |
| Study characteristics | 17 | Cite each included study and present its characteristics. | Table 1 and page 7 study results |
| Risk of bias in studies | 18 | Present assessments of risk of bias for each included study. | Page 7 and 16, study results and Conclusion |
| Results of individual studies | 19 | For all outcomes, present, for each study: (a) summary statistics for each group (where appropriate) and (b) an effect estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval), ideally using structured tables or plots. | Table 1, page 7 study result |
| Results of syntheses | 20a | For each synthesis, briefly summarise the characteristics and risk of bias among contributing studies. | Page |
| | 20b | Present results of all statistical syntheses conducted. If meta-analysis was done, present for each the summary estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval) and measures of statistical heterogeneity. If comparing groups, describe the direction of the effect. | Page 8-12 |
| | 20c | Present results of all investigations of possible causes of heterogeneity among study results. | Page 8-12 |
| | 20d | Present results of all sensitivity analyses conducted to assess the robustness of the synthesized results. | Page 8-12 |
| Reporting biases | 21 | Present assessments of risk of bias due to missing results (arising from reporting biases) for each synthesis assessed. | Page 8-12 |
| Certainty of evidence | 22 | Present assessments of certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for each outcome assessed. | Page 8-12 |
| | | DISCUSSION | |
| Discussion | 23a | | Page 13 study results |
| | 23b | | Page 15 limitations |
| | 23c | | Page 15 limitations |
| | 23d | | Page 15 |
| Section and | Item | Checklist item | Location where |
| Торіс | # | | item is reported |

The Impact of The COVID-19 Pandemic on the Management

| contd | | | - |
|--|-----|--|--|
| Registration and protocol | 24a | Provide registration information for the review, including register name and registration number, or state that the review was not registered. | Not applicable/ abstract accepted BMSTOPHJ2021- 192 and full manuscript submission BMSTOPHJ2021- 200 |
| | 24b | Indicate where the review protocol can be accessed, or state that a protocol was not prepared. | No protocol |
| | 24c | Describe and explain any amendments to information provided at registration or in the protocol. | No protocol |
| Support | 25 | Describe sources of financial or non-financial support for the review, and the role of the funders or sponsors in the review. | Sponsored by the university |
| Competing interests | 26 | Declare any competing interests of review authors. | None |
| Availability of data, code and other materials | 27 | Report which of the following are publicly available and where they can be found: template data collection forms; data extracted from included studies; data used for all analyses; analytic code; any other materials used in the review. | Template of data collection |

From:Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 2021;372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71.

© 2022 Mboweni and Risenga et al.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.