



The Open Public Health Journal

Content list available at: <https://openpublichealthjournal.com>



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Personal and Environmental Risk Factors of Work-Related Stress: A Cross-Sectional Study among Female Workers of a Textile Industry in Indonesia

Haris Setyawan¹, Hanifa M. Denny^{2,*}, Nurjazuli Nurjazuli³ and Endah Kumala Dewi⁴

¹Doctoral Student in Public Health, Faculty of Public Health, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Indonesia

²Department of Occupational Safety and Health, Faculty of Public Health, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Indonesia

³Department of Environmental Health, Faculty of Public Health, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Indonesia

⁴Faculty of Psychology, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Indonesia

Abstract:

Background:

In 2017, the prevalence of work-related stress reached 10.7% of the 792 million population. This work-related stress is common in the textile industry, characterized by many environmental risk factors coming from the weaving processes. The stress problem is discussed in various countries worldwide.

Objective:

The study aimed to investigate the personal and environmental risk factors for work-related stress in textile industry workers.

Methods:

This cross-sectional study was conducted in a textile factory weaving section in Solo, Indonesia. The subjects of this study were 188 female weaving operators that met the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The sound level meter was operated to measure the environmental noise, while environmental working conditions, work experience, social support, and work-related stress were measured using questionnaires. Furthermore, the Somers' Delta correlation was used to analyze the relationship between personal and environmental risk factors for work-related stress.

Results:

Surprisingly, this study found no significant correlation between personal and environmental risk factors (independent variables) with work-related stress (dependent variables). In personal factors, most of the workers are late adulthood (36-45 years old), the length of working dominated more than ten years (90.42%), with most of them having senior high school education (58.51%). In terms of environmental risk factors, most of the workers have good working environment conditions (51.6%); are well experienced in weaving tasks (54.3%), with a high social support category (58%).

Conclusion:

Although some previous studies indicated that personal factors, working conditions, and social support could trigger stress among workers, this study found no correlation between the independent and the dependent variables.

Keywords: Noise, Social support, Work experience, Work-related stress, Weaving processes, Female workers.

Article History

Received: May 27, 2022

Revised: August 20, 2022

Accepted: September 7, 2022

1. INTRODUCTION

Indonesia has been experiencing a serious economic distraction due to the COVID-19 pandemic, forcing many companies to formulate policies to survive unfavorable

conditions [1]. Many companies reduced costs by delaying machine repairs and limited working hours [2], one of which happened to IT Co., Ltd., the largest textile company in Solo. The weaving section has a high noise level, unfavorable working conditions from old damaged machines, and poor interaction between workers and management. This problem can interfere with workers' mental health, such as causing

* Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Occupational Safety and Health, Faculty of Public Health, Diponegoro University, SemarangIndonesia; Tel: +62811296228; E-mail: hanifadenny@live.undip.ac.id

work-related stress, a work-related disease often complained about in the weaving section [3]. A systematic review study in European countries stated that noise exceeding the threshold value could cause various stress symptoms. These include sleep disorders, cardiovascular, birth and reproduction complications, mental health, well-being, and quality of life [4, 5]. Moreover, studies in Asia, especially in China, show that noise intensity exceeding 85 dB could cause physiological and mental disorders, and the risk increases when it exceeds 95 dB [6, 7].

The work-related stress problem has been discussed worldwide as one of the occupational health problems [8]. In 2017, stress and mental disorder prevalence reached 10.7% of the 792 million people in many developed and developing countries [9]. Also, in developed countries such as the United States, the job stress prevalence was 94% of the total workforce in 2019. Absenteeism decreased productivity, and stress treatment caused 120,000 deaths and 300 billion US dollars loss [10]. This number far exceeds the loss or injury cost of 171 billion dollars resulting from work accidents in the same year [11]. A survey in various developing countries in Asia showed that work is the main cause of stress. The prevalence of stress is 57% in Malaysia, 62% in Hong Kong, 63% in Singapore, 71% in Vietnam, 73% in China and Indonesia, and 75% in Thailand [12].

The February 2020 data from Social Health Insurance Administration Agency in Indonesia showed that the total workforce was 137.91 million people, an increase of 1.73 million from the previous year [13]. Therefore, the magnitude of the losses and the work-related stress prevalence in Indonesia are important factors that must be studied with more incentives. Furthermore, several previous studies showed that women are vulnerable to stress [14, 15].

The weaving process of the textile industry poses a high-intensity noise hazard, which comes from machine movements that have the potential to cause work-related stress, so proper analysis and recommendations are needed to overcome this noise. IT Co. Ltd is one of the largest textile companies in Solo - Indonesia, surviving the economic shocks due to the global COVID-19 pandemic. It uses many damaged production machines, causing a high level of noise. This condition is suspected of causing many work-related stress complaints among weaving workers. Therefore, this study investigates the correlation between personal and environmental risk factors and work-related stress. The results could be useful for industries, governments, practitioners, and researchers to take corrective actions that reduce the negative impact of

environmental risk factors and work-related stress.

2. METHODS

2.1. Study Design and Setting

This cross-sectional study was conducted in the weaving section of IT Co. Ltd., Solo, Indonesia. It aimed to investigate the personal and environmental risk factors with work-related stress among 188 female weaving workers exposed to high noise levels. The participants comprised operators that met the inclusion and exclusion criteria. These included the willingness to become research participants, working the morning shift with a minimum of eight working hours per day, being female, and having a normal body mass index.

2.2. Data Collection

Data collected in August 2021 is located in the weaving section of IT Co. Ltd, Solo, Indonesia. Public health practitioners, psychologists, and community medicine experts were invited to develop and validate the environmental working conditions, work experience, and social support questionnaire. A sound level meter, EXTECH 10059792, was used to measure environmental noise by assessing the exposure of noise intensity (decibel/dB) during working hours from 08.00 to 11.00 Western Indonesian Time. Moreover, the questionnaire from HSE Executives assessed the level of work-related stress, with scale categories ranging from mild, moderate, high, and very high. The questionnaire in this study has also been tested for validity and reliability with the Pearson Product Moment test.

2.3. Data Analysis

The Somers' Delta correlation test analyzed the relationship between personal factors, including age, length, educational attainment, and work experience. Also, the study tested environmental factors, such as noise exposure, environmental working condition, and social support in work-related stress with SPSS 21 application program.

3. RESULTS

This research was conducted in IT Co. Ltd., Solo, Central Java, Indonesia, with 188 weaving operators. Table 1 shows participants' age, working duration, educational attainment, noise exposure, environmental working condition and experience, social support, and work-related stress. Also, Table 2 shows a bivariate analysis using the Somers' Delta correlation test.

Table 1. Participants distributed work-related stress in IT Co. Ltd., Solo, Central Java Indonesia.

Variables	Frequency (People)	Percentage (%)
Age		
Early adulthood (26-35 years old)	42	22.34
Late adulthood (36-45 years old)	103	54.79
Early old age (46-55 years old)	43	22.87
Total	188	100
Length of Working		
New (<6 years)	3	1.60

(Table 1) contd.....

Variables	Frequency (People)	Percentage (%)
Moderate (6-10 years)	15	7.98
Senior (>10 years)	170	90.42
Total	188	100
Educational Attainment		
Primary School	12	6.38
Junior High School	66	35.11
Senior High School	110	58.51
Total	188	100
Noise Exposure		
96-100 dB	12	6.38
101-105 dB	162	86.17
106-110 dB	13	6.92
111-115 dB	1	0.53
Total	188	100
Environmental Working Condition		
Good Working Environment	97	51.6
Unfavorable Working Environment	91	48.4
Total	188	100
Work Experience		
Well Experienced	102	54.3
Less Experienced	86	45.7
Total	188	100
Social Support		
High Social Support	109	58
Low Social Support	79	42
Total	188	100
Work-related Stress		
Light	91	48.4
High	97	51.6
Total	188	100

Table 2. Bivariate analysis of work-related stress using Somers' Delta correlation test.

Risk Factors of Work-Related Stress	Work-related Stress Category					r	p
	Category	High	Light	Total			
Age	Early adulthood (26-35 years old)	21 (48.8%)	22 (51.2%)	43 (100%)	0.022	0.751	
	Late adulthood (36-45 years old)	57 (55.3%)	46 (44.7%)	103 (100%)			
	Early old age (46-55 years old)	19 (45.2%)	23 (54.8%)	42 (100%)			
Length of working	New (<6 years)	91 (53.5%)	79 (46.5%)	170(100%)	-0.104	0.104	
	Moderate (6-10 years)	5 (33.3%)	10 (66.7%)	15 (100%)			
	Senior (>10 years)	1 (33,3%)	2 (66,7%)	3 (100%)			
Educational attainment	Primary School	10 (83.3%)	2 (16.7%)	12 (100%)	0.125	0.075	
	Junior High School	35 (53%)	31 (47%)	66 (100%)			
	Senior High School	52 (47.3%)	58 (52.7%)	110 (100%)			
Noise exposure	111-115 dB	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	-0.026	0.699	
	106-110 dB	7 (53.8%)	6 (46.2%)	13 (100%)			
	101-105 dB	81 (50%)	81 (50%)	162 (100%)			
	96-100 dB	8 (66.7%)	4 (33.3%)	12 (100%)			
Environmental working condition	Unfavorable working environment	44 (48.4%)	47 (51.6%)	91 (100%)	-0.063	0.388	
	Good working environment	53 (54.6%)	44 (45.4%)	97 (100%)			
Work experience	Less experienced	45 (52.3%)	41 (47.7%)	86 (100%)	0.013	0.854	
	Well experienced	52 (51%)	50 (49%)	102 (100%)			

(Table 2) contd....

Risk Factors of Work-Related Stress	Work-related Stress Category					
	Category	High	Light	Total	r	p
Social Support	Low social support	39 (49.4)	40 (50.6%)	79 (100%)	-0.038	0.603
	High Social Support	58 (53.2%)	51 (46.8%)	109 (100%)		

All participants in this study were females working in the weaving section on the morning shift (08.00-16.00 Western Indonesian Times). Most of the respondents had good working environment conditions (51.6%); were well experienced in weaving tasks (54.3%), with a high social support category (58%). Most workers were in late adulthood (36-45 years old). At the same time, the length of work dominated more than ten years (90.42%), with most of them having senior high school education (58.51%). In environmental risk factors, noise exposure in weaving workers dominated 101-105 dB (86.17%). More than half of weaving workers experienced subjective stress in the high category (51.6%).

The Somers' Delta correlation test results showed no significant correlation between the independent variables, such as personal factors of age, length of work, educational attainment, work experience, environmental factors of noise exposure, environmental working condition, social support, and the dependent variable, work-related stress at $p > 0.05$.

4. DISCUSSION

Most workers were above 36 years old; most of the workers had been working at the company for more than ten years, and more than half of the workers had a senior high school education. Bivariate analysis showed no significant correlation between personal factors of age, length of work, educational attainment, work experience, and work-related stress. This result contradicts the previous studies published in international journals. Workers' factors included gender, age, length of work, and education level influence, work-related stress and burnout in various developing countries [16]. Specifically, increasing the workers' age could increase the risk of work-related stress in the workplace. Most individuals over the age of 30 easily experience stress because they must perform competitive work that requires the mind and stamina [17]. Therefore, company management should consider age when giving job descriptions, especially for women with high physical burdens. The working period of weavers in this research was mostly more than ten years. According to several studies, workers with longer experience are more resistant to related pressures than those with a shorter tenure [18]. The length of work relates to a worker's experience in dealing with problems at work. It also has the potential to affect both positively and negatively. The longer a person works, the more experience he will have and the quicker he will be able to solve issues at work. On the other side, longer working period results in boredom [19].

Education level is not the main parameter in recruiting weaving workers, especially in IT Co., Ltd. Companies need more workers with experience and expertise in weaving work [20]. Although, in theory, an individual's level of education can affect their sense of self, capacity for problem-solving, and outlook on reaching maturity. Education is a learning process; the higher a person's education, the easier it will receive and

manage information, making it easier to solve various problems in the workplace [21, 22]. Sometimes this is different from the data from some studies in many developed countries. A higher education level also has a higher risk for workers experiencing work-related stress; this happens because people with high positions in companies will get a type of work with a high level of difficulty and busyness, which can trigger stress in the workplace [23].

Bivariate analysis showed no significant correlation between environmental factors of noise exposure, environmental working conditions, and social support in work-related stress. The noise measurement results showed that all spot working areas in the weaving section exceeded the permissible intensity value (>85 dB), dominated by 101 dB and beyond. Lack of variability in the noise levels could be the reason for no significant correlation between the predictors and the outcome variables. The high noise intensity causes auditory and non-auditory effects in weaving workers, especially work-related stress. Noise can be a stressor after 3 hours of continuous noise exposure in the workplace [24, 25]. The negative health effect of noise has triggered studies on noise control in the workplace. One of them is called the Hierarchy of Control [26]. The control starts with elimination control, this program removes and replaces noise-causing work tools, but it takes time and requires relatively large funds [27]. The engineering control could be applied using materials that reduce noise [28]. Reducing noise loudness from the production machine requires materials with a sound absorption function. Examples are porous, large perforated, fibrous, and combination materials [29]. Furthermore, administrative control and personal protective equipment programs could be applied when engineering controls cannot effectively regulate workplace hazards. However, these controls could interfere with the company's work operations and comfort [30].

Good environmental working conditions and high social support could reduce the stress impact and symptoms [31, 32]. Such impacts include impaired concentration, emotional disturbances, less performance and productivity, and cardiovascular and heart disease [33, 34]. An unfavorable working environment can be one of the causes of stress and burnout for workers [35, 36], although it also depends on the perception of each worker [37, 38]. If the stressor felt by the worker is within the individual tolerance threshold, the worker will not experience stress. In other conditions, if the stressor is perceived as outside the individual's tolerance threshold, thereby causing stress. This condition can be influenced by coping mechanisms in individual adjustment/adaptation /response behavior in dealing with stressors or threatening situations [39, 40]. In addition, good social support from families and friends can be a protective mechanism against stress and burnout [41, 42]. Social support obtained from co-workers and supervisors in workplaces will help workers do their jobs (correcting mistakes and helping to overcome difficulties) [43]. Mutual support behavior can be given in the

form of giving advice, feedback, appreciation, real help obtained from close social relationships, or also through the presence of other people [44, 45].

CONCLUSION

Although some previous studies indicated that personal factors, working conditions, and social support could trigger stress among workers, this study found no correlation between the independent and the dependent variables. However, considering the high occupational noise intensity, the effort to reduce stress among weaving workers should be invested to keep their workers healthy.

A practical engineering control using sound-absorbing materials could be an idea to be tried out. In the upcoming study, the researchers will use low-cost sound-absorbing materials from the company's waste, such as patchwork waste, as a sound absorber in the working area.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Ethics approvals for this study were obtained from the Research Ethics Committee, Faculty of Health, Jendral Soedirman University (No: 529/EC/KEPK/VIII/2021). Permission to conduct the study in the weaving section was obtained from the Director of IT Co. Ltd., Solo Indonesia, and all participants.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

No animals were used for studies that are the basis of this research. All the humans were used in accordance with the ethical standards of the committee responsible for human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2013 (<http://ethics.iit.edu/ecodes/node/3931>).

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Informed concern was obtained from all the participants.

STANDARDS OF REPORTING

STROBE guidelines were followed.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author [H.M.D] on special request.

FUNDING

The authors thank the Chairperson of Research Institutions and Community Service UNS for funding this research for the Doctoral Dissertation Research Grant scheme (PDD-UNS 2021).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declared no potential conflicts concerning this article's research, authorship, and publication.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the Director of IT Co., Ltd for being willing to make the company a place of study and data collection. Special thanks to the Chairperson of Research Institutions and Community Service, Sebelas Maret University, for funding this research.

REFERENCES

- [1] Purwanto A, Fahlevi M, Zuniawan A, Kusuma RDP, Supriatna H, Maryani E. The COVID-19 pandemic impact on industries performance: An explorative study of Indonesian companies. *J Crit Rev* 2020; 7(15): 1965-72.
- [2] Alkahtani M, Omair M, Khalid QS, Hussain G, Ahmad I, Pruncu C. COVID-19 supply chain management strategy based on variable production under uncertain environmental conditions. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2021; 18(4): 1662. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18041662>] [PMID: 33572380]
- [3] Sumardiyono S. The difference blood cortisol level between male and female on workers exposed by continuous noise. *J Vocat Health Studies* 2020; 3(3): 120-5. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.20473/jvhs.V3.I3.2020.120-125>]
- [4] Clark C, Crumpler C, Notley H. Evidence for environmental noise effects on health for the United Kingdom policy context: A systematic review of the effects of environmental noise on mental health, wellbeing, quality of life, cancer, dementia, birth, reproductive outcomes, and cognition. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020; 17(2): 393. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17020393>] [PMID: 31936110]
- [5] Guski R, Schreckenber D, Schuemer R. WHO environmental noise guidelines for the European region: A systematic review on environmental noise and annoyance. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2017; 14(12): 1539. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14121539>] [PMID: 29292769]
- [6] Chen S, Hu W, Wang C, *et al.* Enhancement of backward second harmonic generation of acoustic waves in a resonant cavity by using a superlattice. *Ultrasonics* 2017; 73: 107-13. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ultras.2016.09.003>] [PMID: 27637003]
- [7] Chen F, Fu W, Shi O, Li D, Jiang Q, Wang T, *et al.* impact of exposure to noise on the risk of hypertension: A systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Environ Res* 2020; 2021(195): 110813. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.110813>] [PMID: 33545125]
- [8] Seňová A, Antořová M. Work stress as a global problem in present time. *Procedia Soc Behav Sci* 2014; 109: 312-6. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.12.463>]
- [9] Ritchie H, Roser M. Mental health. 2018. Available from: <https://ourworldindata.org/mental-health>
- [10] The American Institute of stress. 42 Worrying Workplace Stress Statistics 2019. Available from: <https://www.stress.org/42-worrying-workplace-stress-statistics> (Accessed on: 2020 Nov 1).
- [11] National Safety Council. Work injury costs. 2020. Available from: <https://injuryfacts.nsc.org/work/costs/work-injury-costs/> (Accessed on: 2021 Apr 27).
- [12] CFO Innovation Staff. Work is top trigger of stress for Asia-Pacific workers. 2012. Available from: <https://www.cfoinnovation.com/work-top-trigger-stress-for-asia-pacific-workers>
- [13] Labor Force Situation in Indonesia February 2020. Central Bureau of Statistics. Jakarta 2020. Available from: <https://www.bps.go.id/publication/2020/06/19/c0d3df055948f7bcb65890f0/keadaan-angkatan-kerja-di-indonesia-februari-2020.html>
- [14] Pomp S, Keller S, Maddock JE. Associations of depressive symptoms with health behaviors, stress, and self-assessed health status in Hawai'i: A population study. *Asia Pac J Public Health* 2015; 27(2): NP1907-17. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1010539512448523>] [PMID: 22743856]
- [15] Gamage AU, Seneviratne RDA. Perceived job stress and presence of hypertension among administrative officers in Sri Lanka. *Asia Pac J Public Health* 2016; 28(1): 41S-52S. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1010539515598834>] [PMID: 26276363]
- [16] Ezenwaji IO, Eseadi C, Okide CC, *et al.* Work-related stress, burnout, and related sociodemographic factors among nurses. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2019; 98(3): e13889. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000013889>] [PMID: 30653094]

- [17] Rauschenbach C, Krumm S, Thielgen M, Hertel G. Age and work-related stress: A review and meta-analysis. *J Manag Psychol* 2013; 28(7/8): 781-804. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/JMP-07-2013-0251]
- [18] Pignata S, Winefield AH, Provis C, Boyd CM. Awareness of stress-reduction interventions on work attitudes: The Impact of tenure and staff group in Australian Universities. *Front Psychol* 2016; 7: 1225. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01225] [PMID: 27588011]
- [19] Manabung AR, Suoth LF, Warouw F. Hubungan Antara Masa Kerja Dan Beban Kerja Dengan Stres Kerja Pada Tenaga Kerja Di Pt. Pertamina Tbbm Bitung. *Kesmas* 2019; 7(5): 1-10.
- [20] Sumardiyono PA, Probandari A, Hartono , Wijayanti R. A path analysis: Study of correlation between noise intensity and quality of life of workers in textile industry. *J Phys Conf Ser* 2019; 1424(1): 012045. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1424/1/012045]
- [21] Malahika M, Rompas S, Bawotong J. The effect of flood disaster preparedness counseling on family knowledge in environment I, Pakowa Village, Wanea District, Manado City. *Nurs EJ* 2016; 4(2)e4f9f1fa9c3cae
- [22] Ramdhani MA. Lingkungan Pendidikan dalam Implementasi Pendidikan Karakter. *J Pendidik Univ Garut* 2013; 8(1): 28-37.
- [23] Clares SG. Work-related stress in Spain: An analysis about the current situation. 2021. Available from: http://repositori.uji.es/xmlui/bitstream/handle/10234/194382/TFG_2021_GomezClares_Sergio.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y
- [24] Tao Y, Chai Y, Kou L, Kwan MP. Understanding noise exposure, noise annoyance, and psychological stress: Incorporating individual mobility and the temporality of the exposure-effect relationship. *Appl Geogr* 2020; 125: 102283. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2020.102283]
- [25] Ramos-Galarza C, Acosta-Rodas P. Stress and productivity in workers of textile companies. *J Fash Mark Manag* 2019; 23(1): 17-29. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/JFMM-02-2018-0030]
- [26] Gershon RRM, Neitzel R, Barrera MA, Akram M. Pilot survey of subway and bus stop noise levels. *J Urban Health* 2006; 83(5): 802-12. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11524-006-9080-3] [PMID: 16802179]
- [27] Manuele FA. Risk assessment & hierarchies of control. *Prof Saf* 2005; 50(5): 33-9.
- [28] Cops A, Lauriks W. Characterization of sound-absorbing materials used in noise control engineering. *Arch Acoust* 2014; 10((1-2)): 209-48.
- [29] Egan L, Wang X, Fard M. Acoustical characterization of porous sound-absorbing materials: A review. *Int J Veh Noise Vib* 2014; 10(1-2): 129-49.
- [30] Setyaningrum I, Suroto S, Widjasena B. Analisa Pengendalian Kebisingan Pada Penggerindaan Di Area Fabrikasi Perusahaan Pertambangan. *J Kesehat Masy* 2014; 2(4): 267-75.
- [31] Wang X, Cai L, Qian J, Peng J. Social support moderates stress effects on depression. *Int J Ment Health Syst* 2014; 8(1): 41. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1752-4458-8-41] [PMID: 25422673]
- [32] Mo Y, Deng L, Zhang L, *et al.* Work stress among Chinese nurses to support Wuhan in fighting against COVID-19 epidemic. *J Nurs Manag* 2020; 28(5): 1002-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jonm.13014] [PMID: 32255222]
- [33] Fordjour GA, C. Chan AP, Fordjour AA. Exploring potential predictors of psychological distress among employees: A systematic review. *Int J Psychiatry Res* 2020; 3(1): 1-11. [http://dx.doi.org/10.33425/2641-4317.1047]
- [34] Rahman T, Faisal ARM, Khanam T, Shekhar HU. Recurrent indoor environmental pollution and its impact on health and oxidative stress of the textile workers in Bangladesh. *Environ Health Insights* 2020; 14: 1178630220938393. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1178630220938393] [PMID: 32843838]
- [35] Ravalier JM. Psychosocial working conditions and stress in UK social workers. *Br J Soc Work* 2019; 49(2): 371-90. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bjsw/bcy023]
- [36] Nogueira L de S, Sousa RMC de, Guedes E de S, Santos MA dos, Turrini RNT, Lopes D de A, *et al.* Burnout and nursing work environment in public health institutions. *Rev Bras Enferm* 2018; 71(2): 336-42.
- [37] Cohen S, Gianaros PJ, Manuck SB. A stage model of stress and disease. *Perspect Psychol Sci* 2016; 11(4): 456-63. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/17456916166646305] [PMID: 27474134]
- [38] Elovainio M, Heponiemi T, Jokela M, *et al.* Stressful work environment and wellbeing: What comes first? *J Occup Health Psychol* 2015; 20(3): 289-300. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0038684] [PMID: 25705911]
- [39] Saleh Baqutayan SM. Stress and coping mechanisms: A historical overview. *Mediterr J Soc Sci* 2015; 6(2S1): 479-88. [http://dx.doi.org/10.5901/mjss.2015.v6n2s1p479]
- [40] Cooper C, Quick JC. *The handbook of stress and health: A guide to research and practice.* New Delhi: John Wiley & Sons 2017. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9781118993811]
- [41] Greenglass ER, Fiksenbaum L, Burke RJ. *The relationship between social support and burnout over time in teachers.* Occupational Stress. Florida, USA: CRC Press 2020; pp. 239-48. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1201/9781003072430-21]
- [42] Li L, Ruan H, Yuan WJ. The relationship between social support and burnout among ICU nurses in Shanghai: A cross-sectional study. *Chinese Nurs Res* 2015; 2(2): 45-50. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cnre.2015.04.003]
- [43] Collins AM, Hislop D, Cartwright S. Social support in the workplace between teleworkers, office-based colleagues and supervisors. *New Technol Work Employ* 2016; 31(2): 161-75. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ntwe.12065]
- [44] Camara M, Bacigalupe G, Padilla P. The role of social support in adolescents: Are you helping me or stressing me out? *Int J Adolesc Youth* 2017; 22(2): 123-36. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02673843.2013.875480]
- [45] Cacioppo JT, Cacioppo S. Social relationships and health: The toxic effects of perceived social isolation. *Soc Personal Psychol Compass* 2014; 8(2): 58-72. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/spc3.12087] [PMID: 24839458]