



Explore Indonesian Spatial Patterns: Poor House Sanitation and Critical Environmental Disease in East Kalimantan

Ratna Yuliawati¹, Hanifa Denny^{2,*}, Sutopo Patriajati³ and Yusniar Hanani⁴

¹Department of Public Health, Diponegoro University, Jawa Tengah 50275, Indonesia

²Department of Public Health, Occupational Safety and Health, Diponegoro University, Jawa Tengah 50275, Indonesia

³Department of Public Health, Health Administration and Policy, Diponegoro University, Jawa Tengah 50275, Indonesia

⁴Department of Public Health, Environmental Health, Diponegoro University, Jawa Tengah 50275, Indonesia

Abstract:

Objective: Community-Led Total Sanitation, along with its contained 5 pillars, impacts on the fundamentals of status environmental health. This study aims to determine the spatial distribution pattern with sanitation facilities. This research aimed to analyze the distribution of houses with low economic priority in terms of topografi and their occupants' health conditions, focusing on critical environmental-based diseases, particularly stunting.

Methods: In order to improve sanitation facilities in terms of the community-based total sanitation pillar, this program was utilized as the stimulant recipient in this study, which had a population of 45 homes with special attention categories. Total sampling was employed to establish the research sample. Average Nearest Neighbor (ANN) and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used to examine the gathered data.

Results: As a result, the distribution pattern of the houses by looking at the poor environmental health condition, is categorized as clustered. The topography is hilly, with poor access to clean water, and there is no difference in the physical condition of the houses.

Conclusion: The pattern of distribution of houses with low economy is obtained in groups in areas that do not have access to adequate clean water. Diseases that exist in these community groups include diarrhea, tuberculosis, ISPA, and stunting.

Keywords: Indonesia, Spatial, Sanitation, Underprivilege, Economy, Clean water.

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Bentham Open.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode>. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

*Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Public Health, Occupational Safety and Health, Diponegoro University, Jawa Tengah 50275, Indonesia; E-mail: hanifadenny@live.undip.ac.id

Cite as: Yuliawati R, Denny H, Patriajati S, Hanani Y. Explore Indonesian Spatial Patterns: Poor House Sanitation and Critical Environmental Disease in East Kalimantan. Open Public Health J, 2024; 17: e18749445292093. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/0118749445292093240405045529>



Received: November 09, 2023

Revised: February 14, 2024

Accepted: February 21, 2024

Published: ?? ??, 2024



Send Orders for Reprints to reprints@benthamscience.net

1. INTRODUCTION

Household sanitation is crucial for health, disease prevention, and national development [1]. More than 2.5 billion people worldwide lack access to better sanitation services [2]. 673 million people conduct open defecation, and over 3.6 billion people lack access to properly

managed sanitation services [3].

Unhealthy home conditions can trigger environment-based diseases, risk factors for stunting [4]. They are detected in the regions with poor health facilities and limited socioeconomic indicators [5]. Groups of regions with low economic levels have a greater risk for health

problems [6] and the home environment affects health problems [7].

Indonesia is composed of a group of islands and archipelagos between the seas. The natural conditions of Indonesia are composed of 16,056 5.8 million km² of islands and sea, including the Exclusive Economic Zone (ZEE), an area of approximately 2.95 million km², and the territorial sea of about 0.3 million km² of Indonesia, around 2.55 million km². These conditions make Indonesia categorized as a maritime country and called an archipelagic country. Indonesia has five major islands: Sumatra Island, Kalimantan Island, Sulawesi Island, and Java Island [8].

Kalimantan Island comprises five provinces, including South, East, West, North, and Central Kalimantan. East Kalimantan consists of 2 cities, Samarinda and Balikpapan. The provincial capital of East Kalimantan is Samarinda. The geography in the Samarinda region is undulating, ranging from gently sloping to steep., with elevations ranging from 0-1,000 meters above sea level (asl) with a slope of 0-40%. The physiographical condition of East Kalimantan Province is dominated by slope or peak sub-districts. Slope or peak sub-districts are the sub-districts where most of the area is on the top of mountains or between peaks and valleys. This condition causes people to have limited access to clean water [8].

Community-Led Total Sanitation (CLTS), also known as CLTS, is a government program designed to support efforts to promote a clean and healthy way of life, stop the spread of diseases that are caused by the environment, build community capacity, and carry out government commitments to increase access to drinking water and basic sanitation. The Community-Led Total Sanitation Regulation, Number 3 of 2014, issued by the Minister of Health of the Republic of Indonesia, serves as the foundation for sanitation activities, which includes Stopping Open Defecation, Washing Hands with Soap, the Management of Household Drinking Water and Food, the Management of Household Waste and the Management of Household Liquid Waste [9].

The difficulty in accessing clean water and the poor sanitation trigger the emergence of environment-based diseases, such as chronic diarrhea and stunting. A house is an essential basic need to support human life. A house is not merely a shelter but also a place to rest, gather with family and do various other activities. Therefore, homeowners must be able to provide a home environment that can support the health of the occupants. The condition of the house's physical environment also influences the occurrence of some diseases, such as pneumonia and tuberculosis [10]. Houses with total sanitation can be a medium for developing disease agents, especially infectious diseases [11].

The physical condition of the house influences the health status of its occupants. The condition of the house floor that does not comply with the health standards can increase the risk of coughing and shortness of breath [12],

[13]. The ventilation area of the house influences the occurrence of URTI, especially in toddlers [14, 15]. Home ventilation that does not meet the health requirements may also increase the risk of pulmonary tuberculosis [15]. To make it easier for the public to know the criteria for a healthy house, the government has set standard components for housing and its environment that can prevent the occurrence of diseases to the house occupants.

The availability of comfortable housing that supports community survival is one of the objectives of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) [16]. The realization of a healthy house for a family can be influenced by the financial ability of the homeowner as well as his knowledge regarding healthy houses [17]. People with a middle-lower income find it challenging to access decent housing, especially if their knowledge regarding healthy houses is very limited [18]. Thus, more effort must be put into building houses that meet health requirements.

Based on the data from the Central Statistics Agency in 2020, one out of ten households in Indonesia still lives in a slum house. Moreover, it shows that some people still struggle to access housing that meets health standards. The percentage of uninhabitable houses in urban areas is still relatively high compared to rural areas [19]. Data for the last five years show that the availability of healthy houses in East Kalimantan is still around 60-80%, including in Samarinda City [20]. Furthermore, this is also evidenced by the fact that many uninhabitable houses remain in several areas of Samarinda City.

In arranging policies related to strategies to increase the number of healthy houses, one thing that must be accomplished is to analyze the distribution of houses that are still categorized as unhealthy [21]. The goal is to implement the stimulus program to make healthy houses effective and suitable for the target. Using a spatial approach in analyzing the distribution of unhealthy-categorized houses may become the proper solution, such as using Average Nearest Neighbor (ANN) in analyzing the distribution patterns of settlements in an area [22]. It is also necessary to conduct a study on the variability of unhealthy-categorized house distribution. Thus the degree of difference in the condition of the houses in each region can be indicated.

Information related to the distribution pattern of unhealthy houses can assist the local government in optimizing the programs to improve the public health status [23]. Moreover, the existence of information related to the distribution pattern of healthy and unhealthy houses will make it easier for the government to implement programs for the targeted community. Thus, the program will run more effectively. Therefore, this study aims to determine the spatial pattern of the unhealthy-categorized house distribution using the Average Nearest Neighbor approach. In addition, this study also aims to analyze differences in the physical condition of the houses that affect the health of the occupants in the Samarinda Ulu area.

2. METHODS

This study applied a quantitative analytic observational design with a cross-sectional approach supported by a Geographic Information System. Based on the Samarinda City Health Office data, the research population was categorized as recipients of the community-based total sanitation program subsidy from the Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia located in Samarinda Ulu District. A total sampling method was used to determine the research sample and the entire population was used as the research sample. There 45 houses were selected as the study samples, originating from the sub-districts of Sidodadi, Dadimulya, and Bukit Pinang. This sample selection was taken based on data from homes that have cases of environment-based critical illnesses, such as tuberculosis, diarrhea, and stunting with low economic status.

The components of the house measured to determine physical environmental conditions in the healthy house category included the ceilings, walls, floors, bedroom windows, living room windows, ventilation, kitchen smoke holes, lighting, and humidity. Lighting is measured with a Lux meter Merk Extech LT 300, and humidity is measured with Hygrometer. It included the availability of sanitation

facilities such as restrooms, hand washing facilities, drinking water and food management, garbage management, and wastewater disposal facilities. In measuring the house's physical condition, standardized tools, and observation sheets were used, and an assessment was conducted using a scale of 0-100. Data on the house coordinates were collected by using Garmin GPS (Table 1).

The collected data were analyzed in two ways: spatial analysis using ArcMap 10.3 and statistical analysis using SPSS version 19.0. The analytical method used to determine the spatial pattern of the unhealthy-categorized house distribution was the Average Nearest Neighbor (ANN) approach. In addition, the one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was also conducted to determine differences in the physical condition of the houses that affect the health of the occupants in the Samarinda Ulu area.

The ANN analysis results consist of clustered, random, and dispersed distribution patterns. The distribution pattern is clustered if the z-score value is less than -1.65. The distribution pattern is random if the z-score is between -1.65 to 1.65. The distribution pattern is dispersed or spread [24] if the z-score value exceeds 1.65

Table 1. The descriptive information of the houses based on the physical conditions and sanitation facilities.

Descriptive Information	n	Percentage (%)
House Category	-	-
Good	1	2.2
Enough	20	43.5
Not Good Enough	21	45.7
Bad	4	8.7
Ventilation	-	-
>10% of the floor area	25	54.3
<10% of the floor area	21	45.7
Lighting	-	-
60 - 120 lux	9	19.6
<60 lux	37	80.4
Humidity	-	-
40-60% Rh	17	37
>40-60% Rh	29	63
Latrine Condition	-	-
Qualified	35	76.1
Unqualified	11	23.9
Hand Washing Facility	-	-
Qualified	20	43.4
Unqualified	26	56.6
Clean Water	-	-
Qualified	43	93.5
Unqualified	3	6.6
Garbage Management Facility	-	-
Qualified	5	10.9
Unqualified	41	89.1
Wastewater Sewer	-	-
Qualified	30	65.2
Unqualified	16	34.8

Source: Primary data.

(18). The H_0 is approved for the one-way ANOVA test results if the F table value > (is higher than) the F count value. It signifies no variation in the dwelling condition value among the three sub-districts that serve as the study's samples. However, if the F table value < (is more minor than) the F count value, then the H_0 is rejected. It means that there are differences in the value of the house condition in the three sub-districts that become the samples of this study. In addition, the decision-making of the one-way ANOVA test can be seen from the sig. If the p-value is more than 0.05, then the H_0 is accepted. Whereas if the p-value is less than 0.05, then the H_0 is rejected [25].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Forty-six respondents or houses that received the study's funding for the community-based total sanitation program with sanitation problems and economically disadvantaged families in the majority (45.7%) were in the unfavorable category or not good enough.

Latrines, hand washing with soap, drinking water, household clean water management, garbage management, and domestic liquid waste management are the five pillars that make up community-based complete sanitation. Based on the identification results, it was found that there were unqualified latrines, which accounted for

about 23.9%. 97.8% of the hand washing facilities were unqualified because no soap was found. The household waste management showed the results of 89.1% unqualified.

Table 2 demonstrates the output of the one-way ANOVA test regarding the assessment of the house condition categorized as not fulfilling the qualified sanitation requirement in the Samarinda Ulu area. There were 45 houses selected as the research samples, comprising 15 houses in the Sidodadi Sub-district, 15 in the Dadimulya Sub-district, and 15 in the Bukit Pinang Sub-district. The physical condition of the houses was weighted on a scale of 0 - 100, with the average value of the house condition obtained was 53.87 in Sidodadi Sub-district, 59.20 in Dadimulya Sub-district and 56.00 in Bukit Pinang Sub-district. Based on the SPSS output, the value of df1 was 2, and the value of df2 was 42. Thus, the value of the F table was 3.220. Therefore, the value of the F table is more than the value of the Fcount ($3.220 > 0.644$). H_0 has therefore gained acceptance. Thus, it can be said that there is no difference between the three sub-districts in terms of the worth of the state of the houses, which become the samples of this study. Likewise, the significance value shows the same result with sig. > 0.05; thus, the H_0 is accepted.

Table 2. Results of the one-way ANOVA test on the assessment of the house conditions with unhealthy category in the samarinda ulu.

Sub-district	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	F	Sig
Sidodadi	15	53,87	10,993	0,445	0,644
Dadimulya	15	59,20	16,575		
Bukit Pinang	16	56,00	18,268		
Total	45	56,36	15,394		




Fig. (1). Flow diagram of the data analysis.

The use of ANN in determining the distribution pattern of housing/ settlements has been widely known and applied as implemented in the word [26]. The distribution pattern of houses in clustered form shows that the houses, the studied objects, are located in areas close to each other or congregate in certain areas. Topographical factors and the accessibility of an area can also affect the occurrence of residential clusters [27]. For example, the type of settlement that usually forms clusters in a specific location is slums [28].

Based on the five basic pillars, this study seeks to identify the spatial distribution of the households with subsidized sanitation services of CLTS by using the Average Nearest Neighbor approach and to analyze differences in the physical condition of the houses that affect the health of the occupants in the Samarinda Ulu area. Houses categorized as not fulfilling the qualified sanitation are mostly in slums [29]. The people who live in slums are more susceptible to diseases. The reason is an unhealthy environment and unqualified basic sanitation facilities [30]. Moreover, the components of the house that do not meet the health requirements, which can increase the risk of diseases for the house's occupants [31]. For example, humidity, lighting, and the width of the house ventilation are related to pneumonia in toddlers. The occurrence of environmental-based diseases such as tuberculosis is also influenced by socio-economic conditions [28].

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) number 6 mentions sanitation. The accomplishment of this objective is connected to other SDGs, including 3 (a healthy life) and 11 (urban resilience) [32]. Qualified sanitation is related to access to clean water, whereas Samarinda is dominated by slopes or peaks in terms of topography or land surface conditions. These topographical conditions cause water flow on the ground surface in the Sub-district of Dadi Mulya, Sidodadi, and Bukit Pinang to be less smooth; consequently, some areas still need help getting proper clean water. Moreover, the coverage of the PDAM Tirta Kencana Samarinda pipeline is only partially able to reach the slopes or peaks.

The community-based total sanitation approach shows that triggering in rural communities can reach ODF status within one month [33]. CLTS experiences come from rural areas, but this method applies to urban areas and informal settlements. The impact of urbanization will cause slum settlements, with the CLTS approach to urban communities and leaders promoting ODF with the aim of the community building toilets and improving sanitation facilities. Efforts made by leaders to overcome the impact of urbanization with CLTS have succeeded in improving sanitation conditions in urban areas influenced by essential elements such as subsidies, leaders, and politics [27].

One of the risk factors frequently associated with environment-based diseases, such as diarrhea and stunting, is caused by people who openly defecate, do not wash their hands with soap, and improperly manage household drinking water, food, waste, and domestic

wastewater. Those are the leading causes of the emergence of infectious diseases. Toddlers may lose weight due to infectious infections that disrupt the digestive system's ability to absorb nutrients. Toddlers may have stunting if this situation persists for a long time without being accompanied by enough nourishment for the recovery process [15].

A house is a place that must protect and improve the health status of the community. Therefore, policies related to properly sanitized houses must be optimized continually. Assisting people experiencing poverty to build houses with qualified sanitation can be a solution to increase the percentage of healthy houses in Indonesia, such as the program implemented by the Tangerang City government called the Healthy Simple House Development program. Providing stimulus through assistance for healthy houses is expected to help people who experience financial constraints in building healthy houses [32], [33]. Furthermore, it is necessary to conduct massive socialization regarding healthy house regulations in the community [34].

By knowing the distribution pattern and location of the houses categorized as not fulfilling the qualified sanitation, implementing the healthy housing development program can be more effective or correct for the target. Any information related to the assessment of house conditions can assist the government in designing healthy housing programs according to the needs of society. A society with a middle-lower income must be prioritized for the assistance of healthy housing programs to improve its health status. The Geographic Information System provides complete assistance in explaining the distribution of a case. Thus, it can be followed up with a cluster analysis, which can be a potential tool that can greatly assist in determining a policy intervention strategy [35].

Dense urban environments such as Samarinda cost infrastructure services beyond the means of people experiencing poverty and require government subsidies. Through the CLTS Plus program, the Indonesian government is trying to provide a solution for people experiencing poverty by providing subsidies to fulfill sanitation facilities. This program successfully addresses sanitation services in a sustainable manner in urban and peri-urban contexts. People constrained by economic problems can improve their need for proper sanitation within one year.

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that the spatial pattern of house distribution with the category of total sanitation in the Samarinda Ulu area forms clusters or groups at specific locations." Figures and Tables: Figures and tables are valuable, but some improvements can enhance clarity. Provide a more detailed description of Fig. (1) and its implications.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

Yuliawati Ratna contributed to the conceptualization, Hanifa Maher Denny contributed to the methodology, Sutopo Patria Jati contributed to the formal analysis, and Yusniar Hanani D contributed in collecting data.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANN	= Average Nearest Neighbor
ANOVA	= Analysis of Variance
Sustainable Development Goals	= SDGs

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

This research has gained ethical approval from the Faculty of Public Health Diponegoro University and Certificate number 393/EA/KEPK-FKM/2022.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

No animals were used in this research. All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of institutional and/or research committees and with the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki, as revised in 2013.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

STANDARDS OF REPORTING

STROBE guidelines were followed.

FUNDING

This study was funded by Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia, Decree of the Director of Environmental Health No HK.02.03/5/390/2021. Regarding the determination of Village/Kelurahan Village Cash Intensive Activities (PKTD) Management of the STBM Plus Kesling intervention in overcoming stunting and TB in 2021.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thank you to the Samarinda City Health Department for providing support for this research.

REFERENCES

- [1] Socio-economic rights institute of South Africa. 2012. Available from: <https://www.seri-sa.org/>
- [2] Fund WHO/UN. Progress on Drinking Water. Geneva, Switzerland: Sanitation and Hygiene 2017.
- [3] UNICEF. State of the world's sanitation: An urgent call to transform sanitation for better health, environments, economies and societies. 2020. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240014473>
- [4] Uwiringiyimana V, Osei F, Amer S, Veldkamp A. Bayesian geostatistical modelling of stunting in Rwanda: Risk factors and spatially explicit residual stunting burden. *BMC Public Health* 2022; 22(1): 159. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-12552-y> PMID: 35073893
- [5] Kuse KA, Debeke DD. Spatial distribution and determinants of stunting, wasting and underweight in children under-five in Ethiopia. *BMC Publ Health* 2023; 23(1): 641. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12889-023-15488-z> PMID: 37016328
- [6] Das T. Exploring spatial variations and determinants of child malnutrition in Indian districts. *GeoJournal* 2023; 88(6): 6603-25. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10708-023-10989-1>
- [7] Li S, Zhang J, Moriyama M, Kazawa K. Spatially heterogeneous associations between the built environment and objective health outcomes in Japanese cities. *Int J Environ Health Res* 2023; 33(12): 1205-17. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09603123.2022.2083086> PMID: 35670499
- [8] Geospasial BI. Topografi Indonesia. *Ilmagi Indones* 2019; 19(2): 1-198.
- [9] Kar K, Chambers R. Handbook on community-led total sanitation. Available from: <http://www.communityledtotalsanitation.org/sites/communityledtotalsanitation.org/files/cltshandbook.pdf>
- [10] Korelia, "Health Notions , Volume 1 Issue 4 (October-December 2017) ISSN 2580-4936 The Relationship Between The Physical Environment of The House and The Incidence of Pneumonia in Children 375 | Publisher : Humanistic Network for Science and Technology Health Not. *Heal Nations* 2017; 1(4): 375-82. <http://dx.doi.org/10.33846/hn.v1i4.74>
- [11] Poerwoningsih D, Cahyani SD, Wahjutami EL. The correlation of uninhabitable dwellings to tropical infectious diseases resistance. *Ecol Environ Conserv* 2019; 25(1): 111-6.
- [12] Bura T, Doke S, Sinaga M. Relationship between the physical environment of house and the incidence of acute respiratory infections in children under five in ngada regency. *Lontar J Community Heal* 2017; 3(1): 20-30. <http://dx.doi.org/10.35508/ljch.v3i1.3783>
- [13] Krieger JP. Housing and health: Time again for public health action. *Am J Public Health* 2002; 92(5): 758-68. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.92.5.758>
- [14] Fitriani EP. E. P. Fitriani, kahar and Gufran Darma Dirawan, Gufran Darma Dirawan and Samad, Sulaiman and Nurul, Qomariyah and Devi, "Relathionship Analysis Of Physical Environmental Health Conditions With The Event Of Upper Respiratory Tract Infection Maros District. *J Univ negeri makasar* 2021. Available: <https://www.annalsofscsb.ro/index.php/journal>
- [15] Hamidreza T, Jafar HZ, Fereshteh YN, Mohsen AA, Sima J, Behnam H. Spatio-temporal pattern of tuberculosis in the regions supervised by shiraz university of medical sciences 2006-2012. *Iran J Public Health* 2015; 44(9): 1253-61.
- [16] Data SDGs UNTUK Dekade Aksi 2030 Available from: <https://sdgs.bappenas.go.id/dashboard/>
- [17] Srinivasan SP. Creating healthy communities, healthy homes, healthy people: Initiating a research agenda on the built environment and public health. *Am J Public Health* 2003; 93(9): 1446-50. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.93.9.1446>
- [18] Ünsal Ö, Lotfata A, Avcı S. Exploring the relationships between land surface temperature and its influencing determinants using local spatial modeling. *Sustainability* 2023; 15(15): 11594. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/su151511594>
- [19] Statistik BP. Indikator Perumahan dan Kesehatan Lingkungan 2020. Available <https://www.bps.go.id/publication/2020/12/31/68cf1c94411883822b83952f/indikator-perumahan-dan-kesehatan-lingkungan-2020.html>
- [20] Kaltim D. Profil Kesehatan Kalimantan Timur Tahun. Samarinda 2016.
- [21] Ismail E, Snousy MG, Alexakis DE, *et al.* Multivariate statistical analysis and geospatial mapping for assessing groundwater quality in west El Minia District, Egypt. *Water* 2023; 15(16): 2909. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/w15162909>
- [22] Demsash AW, Tegegne MD, Wubante SM, *et al.* Spatial and multilevel analysis of sanitation service access and related factors among households in Ethiopia: Using 2019 Ethiopian national dataset. *PLoS Glob Public Health* 2023; 3(4): e0001752. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgph.0001752>

- [23] Pullan RL, Freeman MC, Gething PW, Brooker SJ. Geographical inequalities in use of improved drinking water supply and sanitation across Sub-Saharan Africa: mapping and spatial analysis of cross-sectional survey data. *PLoS Med* 2014; 11(4): e1001626.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001626> PMID: 24714528
- [24] ESRI. Average nearest neighbor. Available from: https://webhelp.esri.com/arcgisdesktop/9.3/index.cfm?TopicName=Average_Nearest_Neighbor_%28Spatial_Statistics%29
- [25] Sulistyawati . Risk factor and cluster analysis to identify Malaria hot spot for control strategy in samigaluh sub-district, Kulon Progo, Indonesia. *Iran J Public Health* 2019; 48(9)
<http://dx.doi.org/10.18502/ijph.v48i9.3024>
- [26] Jewitt S. Geographies of shit. *Prog Hum Geogr* 2011; 35(5): 608-26.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0309132510394704>
- [27] Lüthi C, McConville J, Kvarnström E. Community-based approaches for addressing the urban sanitation challenges. *Int J Urban Sustain Dev* 2009; 1(1-2): 49-63.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/19463131003654764>
- [28] Mazher MH, Iqbal J, Mahboob MA, Atif I. Modeling spatio-temporal malaria risk using remote sensing and environmental factors. *Iran J Public Health* 2018; 47(9): 1281-91. PMID: 30320002
- [29] Zhang X, Gang Z, Dong X. Effects of government healthcare expenditure on economic growth based on spatial durbin model: Evidence from China. *Iran J Public Health* 2020; 49(2): 283-93.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.18502/ijph.v49i2.3091> PMID: 32461936
- [30] Artiningsih A, Nugroho P, Perdana SAJ, Khairunisa MU. Mapping community environmental sanitation issue using household spatial cognition: A case of Bulu Lor Subdistrict, Semarang, Indonesia. The 3rd Geoplanning-International Conference on Geomatics and Planning. 29-30 August 2018; Gumaya Tower Hotel-Semarang, Indonesia. 2019.
- [31] Govender T, Barnes JM, Pieper CH. Housing conditions, sanitation status and associated health risks in selected subsidized low-cost housing settlements in Cape Town, South Africa. *Habitat Int* 2011; 35(2): 335-42.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2010.11.001>
- [32] Universal sustainable development goals. https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/1684SF_-_SDG_Universality_Report_-_May_2015.pdf2015.
- [33] Kar R. Handbook on sanitation led total sanitation. UK: Institute of Development Studies at the University of Sussex and Plan 2008.
- [34] Haryanti D, Nyorong M, Maryanti E, Anggraini I, Effendy I. Evaluation of the implementation of community-based total sanitation program (STBM) with diarrhea. *J Medihealth* 2022; 3(2): 116-21.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.37899/journallamedihealthico.v3i2.580>
- [35] Rosen HS. Housing subsidies: Effects on housing decisions, efficiency, and equity. *Handbook Publ Econom* 1985; 1: 375-420.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1573-4420\(85\)80010-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1573-4420(85)80010-0)